

Gastric Electrical Stimulation

Medicare Advantage Medical Policy #MA-195

Original Effective Date: 04/01/2026

Current Effective Date: 04/01/2026

Applies to all products administered or underwritten by the Health Plan, unless otherwise provided in the applicable contract. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Medical Policy periodically.

Blue Advantage does not cover investigational or experimental services, including any drug, device, procedure, or service provided under the investigational arm of a clinical trial or study unless mandated by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Coverage is limited to routine services for Category A IDE studies and to devices and related services for Category B IDE studies when not supplied by the trial sponsor. Approved IDE studies are posted on www.cms.gov/medicare/coverage/evidence.

When Services May Be Eligible for Coverage

Coverage for eligible medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products may be provided only if:

- *Benefits are available in the member's contract/certificate, and*
- *Medical necessity criteria and guidelines are met.*

Based on review of available data, the Health Plan may consider the use of gastric electrical stimulation (GES) in the treatment of chronic, intractable nausea and vomiting secondary to gastroparesis of diabetic or idiopathic etiology to be **eligible for coverage**** when **ALL** of the following criteria are met:

Patient Selection Criteria

Coverage eligibility will be considered when ALL of the following criteria are met:

- Significantly delayed gastric emptying as documented by standard scintigraphic imaging of solid food; and
- Patient has severe nausea and vomiting occurring on average at least once daily; and
- Patient is refractory to treatment with prokinetic medications and antiemetic medications for at least one year in duration, or has been intolerant of this treatment; and
- Patient's nutritional status is sufficiently low that total parenteral nutrition is likely to become medically necessary

The medical records submitted for review should document that medical necessity criteria are met. The record should include clinical documentation of ALL of the following:

- Member has chronic, intractable nausea and vomiting secondary to gastroparesis (inability to empty food) caused by diabetes or for an unknown reason; and
- Significantly delayed gastric emptying confirmed by standard scintigraphic imaging (gastric emptying scan) of solid food; and
- Member has not responded or is intolerant to the use of prokinetic (antireflux) and antiemetic (antinausea and vomiting) medications; and
- The need for parenteral nutrition is likely to become medically necessary because of member's inadequate nutritional status

Note: Replacement of gastric electrical stimulation (GES) may be considered medically necessary for an individual that meets (or has met) the above medical necessity criteria and the existing stimulator is no longer under warranty and cannot be repaired.

When Services Are Considered Investigational

Coverage is not available for investigational medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products.

Based on review of available data, the Health Plan considers the use of gastric electrical stimulation (GES) in all other indications, including but not limited to the treatment of obesity, to be **investigational**.*

Background/Overview

Treatment

Gastroparesis

Gastroparesis is a chronic disorder of gastric motility characterized by delayed emptying of a solid meal. Symptoms include bloating, distension, nausea, and vomiting. When severe and chronic, gastroparesis can be associated with dehydration, poor nutritional status, and poor glycemic control in diabetic individuals. While most commonly associated with diabetes, gastroparesis is also found in chronic pseudo-obstruction, connective tissue disorders, Parkinson disease, and psychological pathologic conditions. Some cases may not be associated with an identifiable cause and are referred to as idiopathic gastroparesis. Gastric electrical stimulation (GES), also referred to as gastric pacing, using an implantable device, has been investigated primarily as a treatment for gastroparesis. Currently available devices consist of a pulse generator, which can be programmed to provide electrical stimulation at different frequencies, connected to intramuscular stomach leads, which are implanted during laparoscopy or open laparotomy.

Obesity

GES has also been investigated as a treatment of obesity. It is used to increase a feeling of satiety with subsequent reduction in food intake and weight loss. The exact mechanisms resulting in changes in eating behavior are uncertain but may be related to neurohormonal modulation and/or stomach muscle stimulation.

Gastroparesis is a chronic disorder of gastric motility characterized by delayed gastric emptying of solids in the absence of mechanical obstruction. It can frequently result from longstanding diabetes mellitus and vagal nerve injury, or can be idiopathic in nature. Gastroparesis leads to postprandial nausea and vomiting, bloating, early satiety and discomfort. In severe cases, nausea and vomiting may cause weight loss, dehydration, electrolyte disturbances and malnutrition due to inadequate caloric and fluid intake.

The evaluation of gastroparesis is to exclude mechanical obstruction and establish the diagnosis of gastroparesis by an assessment of gastric motility. If there is no evidence of mechanical obstruction on imaging or upper endoscopy, scintigraphy is typically performed to document the presence of delayed gastric emptying. Scintigraphy measures the motor function of the stomach by quantifying the emptying of a physiologic caloric meal. The technique involves incorporating a radioisotope tracer into a standard meal and tracking its passage through the stomach using a gamma camera. Images are typically gathered at 1, 2, 3, and 4 hours.

Delayed gastric emptying is defined as gastric retention of > 10 percent at 4 hours and/or > 60 percent at two hours when using a standard low fat diet. Although the severity of symptoms do not always correlate with the rate of gastric emptying, delayed gastric emptying has been classified based on the extent of gastric retention on scintigraphy at four hours into the following:

- Mild 10 to 15 percent
- Moderate 15 to 35 percent
- Severe > 35 percent

FDA or Other Governmental Regulatory Approval

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

In 2000, the Gastric Electrical Stimulator system (now called Enterra^{TM†} Therapy System; Medtronic) was approved by the U.S. FDA through the humanitarian device exemption process (H990014) for the treatment of gastroparesis. The GES system consists of 4 components: the implanted pulse generator, 2 unipolar intramuscular stomach leads, the stimulator programmer, and the memory cartridge. With the exception of the intramuscular leads, all other components have been used in other implantable neurologic stimulators, such as spinal cord or sacral nerve stimulation. The intramuscular stomach leads are implanted either laparoscopically or during laparotomy and are connected to the pulse generator, which is implanted in a subcutaneous pocket. The programmer sets the stimulation parameters, which are typically set at an “on” time of 0.1 seconds alternating with an “off” time of 5.0 seconds. The Enterra II system features no magnetic activation switch which reduces electromagnetic interference.

Currently, no GES devices have been approved by the FDA for the treatment of obesity. The Transcend^{®†} (Transneuronix; acquired by Medtronic in 2005), an implantable gastric stimulation device, is available in Europe for treatment of obesity.

Rationale/Source

This medical policy was developed through consideration of peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community, U.S. Food and Drug Administration approval status, nationally accepted standards of medical practice and accepted standards of medical practice in this community, technology evaluation centers, reference to federal regulations, other plan medical policies, and accredited national guidelines.

Medical Policy #MA-195

Original Effective Date: 04/01/2026

Current Effective Date: 04/01/2026

Gastric electrical stimulation (GES) is performed using an implantable device designed to treat chronic drug-refractory nausea and vomiting secondary to gastroparesis of diabetic, idiopathic, or postsurgical etiology. GES has also been investigated as a treatment of obesity. The device may be referred to as a gastric pacemaker.

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have gastroparesis who receive gastric electrical stimulation (GES), the evidence includes randomized controlled trials (RCTs), nonrandomized studies, and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are symptoms and treatment-related morbidity. Several crossover RCTs have been published. A 2017 meta-analysis of 5 RCTs did not find a significant benefit of GES on the severity of symptoms associated with gastroparesis. Individuals generally reported improved symptoms at follow-up whether or not the device was turned on, suggesting a placebo effect. A 2022 meta-analysis did find some improvements, but interpretation of its findings are limited by inconsistent benefits across different outcomes and timepoints, high heterogeneity ($I^2=70\%$), and inclusion of study populations not representative of the intended population. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have obesity who receive GES, the evidence includes an RCT and several small case series and uncontrolled prospective trials. Relevant outcomes are change in disease status and treatment-related morbidity. The SHAPE trial did not show significant improvement in weight loss using GES compared with a sham stimulation. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Supplemental Information

Clinical Input From Physician Specialty Societies and Academic Medical Centers

While the various physician specialty societies and academic medical centers may collaborate with and make recommendations during this process, through the provision of appropriate reviewers, input received does not represent an endorsement or position statement by the physician specialty societies or academic medical centers, unless otherwise noted.

2015 Input

Clinical input was sought to help determine whether the use of gastric electrical stimulation (GES) for individuals with gastroparesis would provide a clinically meaningful improvement in net health outcome and whether the use is consistent with generally accepted medical practice. In response to requests, input was received from 1 specialty society (2 reviewers) and 4 academic centers while this policy was under review in 2015. For individuals who have gastroparesis who receive GES, clinical input does not support a clinically meaningful improvement in net health outcome and does not indicate this use is consistent with generally accepted medical practice. Most respondents agreed that GES should be considered investigational for gastroparesis. There was a lack of consensus whether GES should be considered medically necessary for any specific indication (eg, diabetic gastroparesis, idiopathic gastroparesis, gastroparesis of postsurgical etiology). The reviewers were not asked about the use of GES for treatment of obesity.

2009 Input

Clinical input was sought to help determine whether the use of GES for individuals with gastroparesis or obesity would provide a clinically meaningful improvement in net health outcome and whether the use is consistent with generally accepted medical practice. In response to requests, input was received from 4 academic medical centers (5 reviewers) while this policy was under review in 2009. For individuals who have gastroparesis or obesity who receive GES, clinical input does not support a clinically meaningful improvement in net health outcome and does not indicate this use is consistent with generally accepted medical practice. There was strong agreement among reviewers about the limited data for the use of GES to treat diabetic and idiopathic gastroparesis and about the need for randomized controlled trials (RCTs). There was strong agreement that GES is investigational in the treatment of obesity.

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in 'Supplemental Information' if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

American College of Gastroenterology

In 2022, the American College of Gastroenterology updated practice guidelines on the management of gastroparesis. The College recommended that:

"Gastric electric stimulation (GES) may be considered for control of GP [gastroparesis] symptoms as a humanitarian use device (HUD) (conditional recommendation, low quality of evidence)."

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

In 2014, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence issued guidance on GES for gastroparesis. The Institute made the following recommendations:

1.1 "Current evidence on the efficacy and safety of gastric electrical stimulation for gastroparesis is adequate to support the use of this procedure with normal arrangements for clinical governance, consent, and audit."

1.2 "... clinicians should inform individuals considering gastric electrical stimulation for gastroparesis that some individuals do not get any benefit from it. They should also give individuals detailed written information about the risk of complications, which can be serious, including the need to remove the device."

1.3 "Patient selection and follow-up should be done in specialist gastroenterology units with expertise in gastrointestinal motility disorders, and the procedure should only be performed by surgeons working in these units."

Gastric Electrical Stimulation

Medical Policy #MA-195

Original Effective Date: 04/01/2026

Current Effective Date: 04/01/2026

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials

Some currently unpublished trials that might influence this review are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Summary of Key Trials

NCT No.	Trial Name	Planned Enrollment	Completion Date
<i>Ongoing</i>			
NCT03123809	Combined Gastric Electrical Stimulation (GES) and Pyloroplasty for the Treatment of Gastroparesis: Can Pyloroplasty be Effective Without GES?	50	Sep 2024
NCT05980455 ^a	Randomized Study of Enterra Programming with Nocturnal Cycling in Gastroparetics	50	Dec 2025

NCT: national clinical trial.

^a Denotes industry-sponsored or cosponsored trial.

References

1. Levinthal DJ, Bielefeldt K. Systematic review and meta-analysis: Gastric electrical stimulation for gastroparesis. *Auton Neurosci*. Jan 2017; 202: 45-55. PMID 27085627
2. Chu H, Lin Z, Zhong L, et al. Treatment of high-frequency gastric electrical stimulation for gastroparesis. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. Jun 2012; 27(6): 1017-26. PMID 22128901
3. Lal N, Livemore S, Dunne D, et al. Gastric Electrical Stimulation with the Enterra System: A Systematic Review. *Gastroenterol Res Pract*. 2015; 2015: 762972. PMID 26246804
4. Saleem S, Aziz M, Khan AA, et al. Gastric Electrical Stimulation for the Treatment of Gastroparesis or Gastroparesis-like Symptoms: A Systemic Review and Meta-analysis. *Neuromodulation*. Dec 02 2022. PMID 36464562
5. Ducrotte P, Coffin B, Bonaz B, et al. Gastric Electrical Stimulation Reduces Refractory Vomiting in a Randomized Crossover Trial. *Gastroenterology*. Feb 2020; 158(3): 506-514.e2. PMID 31647902
6. Abell T, McCallum R, Hocking M, et al. Gastric electrical stimulation for medically refractory gastroparesis. *Gastroenterology*. Aug 2003; 125(2): 421-8. PMID 12891544
7. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Summary of Safety and Probable Benefit: Enterra™ Therapy System. 2010; http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf/H990014b.pdf.

Gastric Electrical Stimulation

Medical Policy #MA-195

Original Effective Date: 04/01/2026

Current Effective Date: 04/01/2026

8. McCallum RW, Snape W, Brody F, et al. Gastric electrical stimulation with Enterra therapy improves symptoms from diabetic gastroparesis in a prospective study. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. Nov 2010; 8(11): 947-54; quiz e116. PMID 20538073
9. McCallum RW, Sarosiek I, Parkman HP, et al. Gastric electrical stimulation with Enterra therapy improves symptoms of idiopathic gastroparesis. *Neurogastroenterol Motil*. Oct 2013; 25(10): 815-e636. PMID 23895180
10. Samaan JS, Toubat O, Alicuben ET, et al. Gastric electric stimulator versus gastrectomy for the treatment of medically refractory gastroparesis. *Surg Endosc*. Oct 2022; 36(10): 7561-7568. PMID 35338403
11. Laine M, Sirén J, Koskenpato J, et al. Outcomes of High-Frequency Gastric Electric Stimulation for the Treatment of Severe, Medically Refractory Gastroparesis in Finland. *Scand J Surg*. Jun 2018; 107(2): 124-129. PMID 29268656
12. Shada A, Nielsen A, Marowski S, et al. Wisconsin's Enterra Therapy Experience: A multi-institutional review of gastric electrical stimulation for medically refractory gastroparesis. *Surgery*. Oct 2018; 164(4): 760-765. PMID 30072246
13. Shikora SA, Bergenstal R, Bessler M, et al. Implantable gastric stimulation for the treatment of clinically severe obesity: results of the SHAPE trial. *Surg Obes Relat Dis*. 2009; 5(1): 31-7. PMID 19071066
14. Cigaina V, Hirschberg AL. Gastric pacing for morbid obesity: plasma levels of gastrointestinal peptides and leptin. *Obes Res*. Dec 2003; 11(12): 1456-62. PMID 14694209
15. Cigaina V. Gastric pacing as therapy for morbid obesity: preliminary results. *Obes Surg*. Apr 2002; 12 Suppl 1: 12S-16S. PMID 11969102
16. D'Argent J. Gastric electrical stimulation as therapy of morbid obesity: preliminary results from the French study. *Obes Surg*. Apr 2002; 12 Suppl 1: 21S-25S. PMID 11969104
17. De Luca M, Segato G, Busetto L, et al. Progress in implantable gastric stimulation: summary of results of the European multi-center study. *Obes Surg*. Sep 2004; 14 Suppl 1: S33-9. PMID 15479588
18. Favretti F, De Luca M, Segato G, et al. Treatment of morbid obesity with the Transcend Implantable Gastric Stimulator (IGS): a prospective survey. *Obes Surg*. May 2004; 14(5): 666-70. PMID 15186636
19. Shikora SA. Implantable gastric stimulation for the treatment of severe obesity. *Obes Surg*. Apr 2004; 14(4): 545-8. PMID 15130236
20. Camilleri M, Kuo B, Nguyen L, et al. ACG Clinical Guideline: Gastroparesis. *Am J Gastroenterol*. Aug 01 2022; 117(8): 1197-1220. PMID 35926490
21. National Institute of Health and Care Excellence. Gastroelectrical stimulation for gastroparesis [IPG489]. 2014; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg489>.

Policy History

Original Effective Date: 04/01/2026

Current Effective Date: 04/01/2026

01/20/2026 Utilization Management Committee review/approval. New policy.

Gastric Electrical Stimulation

Medical Policy #MA-195

Original Effective Date: 04/01/2026

Current Effective Date: 04/01/2026

Next Scheduled Review Date: 01/2027

Coding

The five character codes included in the Health Plan Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines are obtained from Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®)‡, copyright 2025 by the American Medical Association (AMA). CPT is developed by the AMA as a listing of descriptive terms and five character identifying codes and modifiers for reporting medical services and procedures performed by physician.

The responsibility for the content of the Health Plan Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines is with the Health Plan and no endorsement by the AMA is intended or should be implied. The AMA disclaims responsibility for any consequences or liability attributable or related to any use, nonuse or interpretation of information contained in the Health Plan Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines. Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT, and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein. Any use of CPT outside of the Health Plan Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines should refer to the most current Current Procedural Terminology which contains the complete and most current listing of CPT codes and descriptive terms. Applicable FARS/DFARS apply.

CPT is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association.

Codes used to identify services associated with this policy may include (but may not be limited to) the following:

Code Type	Code
CPT	43647, 43648, 43659, 43881, 43882, 43999, 64590, 64595, 95980, 95981, 95982
HCPCS	C1816, C1883, E0765, L8680, L8685, L8686, L8687, L8688
ICD-10 Diagnosis	All Related Diagnoses

*Investigational – A medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational if the effectiveness has not been clearly tested and it has not been incorporated into standard medical practice. Any determination we make that a medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational will be based on a consideration of the following:

- A. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product can be lawfully marketed without approval of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and whether such approval has been granted at the time the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is sought to be furnished; or
- B. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product requires further studies or clinical trials to determine its maximum tolerated dose, toxicity, safety,

effectiveness, or effectiveness as compared with the standard means of treatment or diagnosis, must improve health outcomes, according to the consensus of opinion among experts as shown by reliable evidence, including:

1. Consultation with technology evaluation center(s);
2. Credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community; or
3. Reference to federal regulations.

****Medically Necessary (or “Medical Necessity”)** - Health care services, treatment, procedures, equipment, drugs, devices, items or supplies that a Provider, exercising prudent clinical judgment, would provide to a patient for the purpose of preventing, evaluating, diagnosing or treating an illness, injury, disease or its symptoms, and that are:

- A. In accordance with nationally accepted standards of medical practice;
- B. Clinically appropriate, in terms of type, frequency, extent, level of care, site and duration, and considered effective for the patient's illness, injury or disease; and
- C. Not primarily for the personal comfort or convenience of the patient, physician or other health care provider, and not more costly than an alternative service or sequence of services at least as likely to produce equivalent therapeutic or diagnostic results as to the diagnosis or treatment of that patient's illness, injury or disease.

For these purposes, “nationally accepted standards of medical practice” means standards that are based on credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community, Physician Specialty Society recommendations and the views of Physicians practicing in relevant clinical areas and any other relevant factors.

‡ Indicated trademarks are the registered trademarks of their respective owners.

NOTICE: If the Patient’s health insurance contract contains language that differs from the Health Plan’s Medical Policy definition noted above, the definition in the health insurance contract will be relied upon for specific coverage determinations.

NOTICE: Medical Policies are scientific based opinions, provided solely for coverage and informational purposes. Medical Policies should not be construed to suggest that the Health Plan recommends, advocates, requires, encourages, or discourages any particular treatment, procedure, or service, or any particular course of treatment, procedure, or service.

NOTICE: Federal and State law, as well as contract language, including definitions and specific contract provisions/exclusions, take precedence over Medical Policy and must be considered first in determining eligibility for coverage.

NOTICE: All codes listed on the Medical Policy require prior authorization. This ensures appropriate utilization and alignment with current clinical guidelines.

Medical Policy #MA-195

Original Effective Date: 04/01/2026

Current Effective Date: 04/01/2026

NOTICE: If an authorization for an ongoing course of treatment has been provided to a member and the member changes from one health plan to another health plan (e.g., a member moves from carrier A to Blue Advantage), Blue Advantage may honor the previous health plan's authorization for the same service under the same type of in-network benefit for a 90-day transition period. Documentation of the authorization for the ongoing course of treatment from the previous health plan must be provided to us by the member or their provider and the services provided for the course of treatment must otherwise be a covered service under the Blue Advantage health plan.

Medicare Advantage Members

Established coverage criteria for Medicare Advantage members can be found in Medicare coverage guidelines in statutes, regulations, National Coverage Determinations (NCD)s, and Local Coverage Determinations (LCD)s. To determine if a National or Local Coverage Determination addresses coverage for a specific service, refer to the Medicare Coverage Database at the following link: <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/search.aspx>. You may wish to review the Guide to the MCD Search here: <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/help/mcd-benehelp.aspx>.

When coverage criteria are not fully established in applicable Medicare statutes, regulations, NCDs or LCDs, internal coverage criteria may be developed. This policy is to serve as the summary of evidence, a list of resources and an explanation of the rationale that supports the adoption of this internal coverage criteria.

InterQual®

InterQual® is utilized as a source of medical evidence to support medical necessity and level of care decisions. InterQual® criteria are intended to be used in connection with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider. InterQual® criteria are clinically based on best practice, clinical data, and medical literature. The criteria are updated continually and released annually. InterQual® criteria are a first-level screening tool to assist in determining if the proposed services are clinically indicated and provided in the appropriate level or whether further evaluation is required. The utilization review staff does the first-level screening. If the criteria are met, the case is approved; if the criteria are not met, the case is referred to the medical director.