

Saturation Biopsy for Diagnosis, Staging, and Management of Prostate Cancer

Medicare Advantage Medical Policy # MA-171

Original Effective Date: 03/01/2026

Current Effective Date: 03/01/2026

Applies to all products administered or underwritten by the Health Plan, unless otherwise provided in the applicable contract. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Medical Policy periodically.

Blue Advantage does not cover investigational or experimental services, including any drug, device, procedure, or service provided under the investigational arm of a clinical trial or study unless mandated by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Coverage is limited to routine services for Category A IDE studies and to devices and related services for Category B IDE studies when not supplied by the trial sponsor. Approved IDE studies are posted on www.cms.gov/medicare/coverage/evidence.

Services Are Considered Investigational

Coverage is not available for investigational medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products.

Based on review of available data, the Health Plan considers saturation biopsy in the diagnosis, staging, and management of prostate cancer to be **investigational.***

Policy Guidelines

Saturation biopsy is generally considered obtaining more than 20 biopsy tissue cores from the prostate in a systematic manner; it is occasionally defined as obtaining more than 18 biopsy tissue cores.

Background/Overview

Prostate Cancer

Prostate cancer is common and is the second leading cause of cancer-related deaths in men in the U.S.

Diagnosis

The diagnosis of prostate cancer is made by biopsy of the prostate gland. The approach to biopsy has changed over time, especially with the advent of prostate-specific antigen screening programs that identify cancer in prostates that are normal to palpation and to transrectal ultrasound. For patients with an elevated prostate-specific antigen level but with a normal biopsy, questions exist about subsequent evaluation, because repeat biopsy specimens may be positive for cancer in a substantial percentage of patients.

In the early 1990s, use of sextant biopsies involving 6 random, evenly distributed biopsies became the standard approach to diagnose prostate cancer. In the late 1990s, as studies showed high false-negative rates for this strategy (missed cancers), approaches were developed to increase the total number of biopsies and to change the location of the biopsies. While there is disagreement about the optimal strategy, most would agree that initial prostate biopsy strategies should include at least 10

Saturation Biopsy for Diagnosis, Staging, and Management of Prostate Cancer

Medical Policy # MA-171

Original Effective Date: 03/01/2026

Current Effective Date: 03/01/2026

to 14 cores. Additional concerns have been raised about drawing conclusions about the stage (grade) of prostate cancer based on limited biopsy specimens. Use of multiple biopsies has also been discussed as an approach to identify tumors that may be eligible for subtotal cryoablation therapy.

At present, many practitioners use a 12- to 14-core "extended" biopsy strategy for patients undergoing initial biopsy. This extended biopsy is done in an office setting and allows for more extensive sampling of the lateral peripheral zone; a sampling of the lateral horn might increase the cancer detection rate by approximately 25%.

Another approach to increasing the number of biopsy tissue cores is "saturation" biopsy. In general, saturation biopsy is considered as more than 20 cores taken from the prostate, with an improved sampling of the anterior zones of the gland, which may be undersampled in standard peripheral zone biopsy strategies and might lead to missed cancers. Saturation biopsy might be performed transrectally or transperineally; the transperineal approach is generally performed as a stereotactic template-guided procedure with general anesthesia.

Surveillance

In addition to the diagnosis of prostate cancer, some have suggested that saturation biopsy could be a part of active surveillance (a treatment approach that involves surveillance with prostate-specific antigen, digital rectal exam, and routine prostate biopsies in men whose cancers are small and expected to behave indolently). Saturation biopsy has the potential to identify tumor grade more accurately than standard biopsy.

FDA or Other Governmental Regulatory Approval

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

Saturation biopsy is a surgical procedure and, as such, is not subject to regulation by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration.

Rationale/Source

This medical policy was developed through consideration of peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community, U.S. Food and Drug Administration approval status, nationally accepted standards of medical practice and accepted standards of medical practice in this community, technology evaluation centers, reference to federal regulations, other plan medical policies, and accredited national guidelines.

Description

Saturation biopsy of the prostate, in which more cores are obtained than by standard biopsy protocol, has been proposed in the diagnosis (for initial or repeat biopsy), staging, and management of individuals with prostate cancer.

Saturation Biopsy for Diagnosis, Staging, and Management of Prostate Cancer

Medical Policy # MA-171

Original Effective Date: 03/01/2026

Current Effective Date: 03/01/2026

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have suspected prostate cancer who receive initial saturation biopsy, the evidence includes randomized controlled trials (RCTs), observational studies, and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are overall survival (OS), disease-specific survival, test accuracy, and treatment-related morbidity. A 2013 systematic review found higher rates of cancer detection with saturation biopsy than with extended biopsy overall, but, in the subgroup of men with prostate-specific antigen (PSA) levels less than 10 ng/mL, the degree of difference was small and possibly not clinically significant. Health outcomes (eg, survival rate) were not reported. Although several studies were published after the systematic review, none showed that initial saturation biopsy improved the detection of clinically significant cancers and none reported progression or survival outcomes. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have suspected prostate cancer who receive repeat saturation biopsy, the evidence includes observational studies and a systematic review. Relevant outcomes are OS, disease-specific survival, test accuracy, and treatment-related morbidity. Several studies have compared saturation with standard prostate biopsies in the repeat biopsy setting and have found significantly higher detection rates with saturation biopsy. However, at least 1 study found that about one-third of the positive findings with saturation biopsy were clinically insignificant cancers. Moreover, studies of saturation biopsy as the repeat prostate biopsy strategy focused on cancer detection rates and did not report health outcomes (eg, progression or survival). Evidence is lacking as to whether saturation biopsy leads to improved health outcomes, including the possibility of detecting clinically insignificant cancers, which could lead to unnecessary treatment. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have prostate cancer and are candidates for active surveillance who receive saturation biopsy, the evidence includes 2 nonrandomized comparative studies. Relevant outcomes are OS, disease-specific survival, test accuracy, and treatment-related morbidity. Both studies retrospectively compared standard biopsy with saturation biopsy for selecting patients for active surveillance; neither found that saturation biopsy improved the ability to select patients. In 1 study, biopsy method was not a significant predictor of upstaging and, in the other study, biopsy method was not significantly associated with selecting patients with a high Gleason score. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Supplemental Information

2014 Input

In response to requests, input was received from 3 physician specialty societies and 3 academic medical centers while this policy was under review in 2014. There were 5 responses from 1 specialty society, 4 responses from another, and 1 response from the third, for a total of 10 specialty society responses. Most reviewers stated that saturation biopsy is considered investigational and did not think that saturation biopsy in patients with 2 prior negative biopsies and persistently rising prostate-specific antigen level is considered medically necessary. Clinicians proposed various options that

Saturation Biopsy for Diagnosis, Staging, and Management of Prostate Cancer

Medical Policy # MA-171

Original Effective Date: 03/01/2026

Current Effective Date: 03/01/2026

could be used in the situation of prior negative biopsies and rising prostate-specific antigen level: there was no consensus on the best approach. Suggestions included magnetic resonance imaging with transrectal ultrasound, multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging, and 3T pelvic magnetic resonance imaging. There was near consensus that there is insufficient evidence to support the use of any of these techniques for the indications being considered.

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in 'Supplemental Information' if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

National Comprehensive Cancer Network Guidelines

The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines on early detection of prostate cancer (v.1.2025) state that despite emerging evidence, the panel does not recommend a saturation biopsy strategy for all individuals with previous negative biopsies given the benefits seen for magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and MRI-targeted biopsy in this patient population. The emerging evidence cited included 1 prospective nonrandomized study (Zaytoun et al 2011) and uncontrolled observational studies published between 2006 and 2013.

NCCN guidelines on prostate cancer treatment (v.2.2025) do not mention saturation biopsy.

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

The U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (2018) recommendations on prostate cancer screening did not address saturation biopsy. This topic is currently being updated.

Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials

A search of [ClinicalTrials.gov](https://www.clinicaltrials.gov) in May 2025 did not identify any ongoing or unpublished trials that would likely influence this review.

References

1. Zaytoun OM, Jones JS. Prostate cancer detection after a negative prostate biopsy: lessons learnt in the Cleveland Clinic experience. *Int J Urol.* Aug 2011; 18(8): 557-68. PMID 21692866
2. Jiang X, Zhu S, Feng G, et al. Is an initial saturation prostate biopsy scheme better than an extended scheme for detection of prostate cancer? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Urol.* Jun 2013; 63(6): 1031-9. PMID 23414775

Saturation Biopsy for Diagnosis, Staging, and Management of Prostate Cancer

Medical Policy # MA-171

Original Effective Date: 03/01/2026

Current Effective Date: 03/01/2026

3. Xue J, Qin Z, Cai H, et al. Comparison between transrectal and transperineal prostate biopsy for detection of prostate cancer: a meta-analysis and trial sequential analysis. *Oncotarget*. Apr 04 2017; 8(14): 23322-23336. PMID 28177897
4. Li YH, Elshafei A, Li J, et al. Transrectal saturation technique may improve cancer detection as an initial prostate biopsy strategy in men with prostate-specific antigen 10 ng/ml. *Eur Urol*. Jun 2014; 65(6): 1178-83. PMID 23768632
5. Li YH, Elshafei A, Li J, et al. Potential benefit of transrectal saturation prostate biopsy as an initial biopsy strategy: decreased likelihood of finding significant cancer on future biopsy. *Urology*. Apr 2014; 83(4): 714-8. PMID 24680442
6. Eichler K, Hempel S, Wilby J, et al. Diagnostic value of systematic biopsy methods in the investigation of prostate cancer: a systematic review. *J Urol*. May 2006; 175(5): 1605-12. PMID 16600713
7. Mabjeesh NJ, Lidawi G, Chen J, et al. High detection rate of significant prostate tumours in anterior zones using transperineal ultrasound-guided template saturation biopsy. *BJU Int*. Oct 2012; 110(7): 993-7. PMID 22394668
8. Lee MC, Moussa AS, Zaytoun O, et al. Using a saturation biopsy scheme increases cancer detection during repeat biopsy in men with high-grade prostatic intra-epithelial neoplasia. *Urology*. Nov 2011; 78(5): 1115-9. PMID 22054382
9. Zaytoun OM, Moussa AS, Gao T, et al. Office based transrectal saturation biopsy improves prostate cancer detection compared to extended biopsy in the repeat biopsy population. *J Urol*. Sep 2011; 186(3): 850-4. PMID 21788047
10. Linder BJ, Frank I, Umbreit EC, et al. Standard and saturation transrectal prostate biopsy techniques are equally accurate among prostate cancer active surveillance candidates. *Int J Urol*. Sep 2013; 20(9): 860-4. PMID 23278942
11. Quintana L, Ward A, Gerrin SJ, et al. Gleason Misclassification Rate Is Independent of Number of Biopsy Cores in Systematic Biopsy. *Urology*. May 2016; 91: 143-9. PMID 26944351
12. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Prostate Cancer Early Detection. Version 1.2025 https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/prostate_detection.pdf.
13. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Prostate Cancer (v.2.2025). https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/prostate.pdf.
14. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF). Final Recommendation Statement: Prostate Cancer: Screening. 2018 May; <https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/Page/Document/RecommendationStatementFinal/prostate-cancer-screening1>.

Policy History

Original Effective Date: 03/01/2026

Current Effective Date: 03/01/2026

12/16/2025 Utilization Management Committee review/approval. New policy.

Next Scheduled Review Date: 12/2026

Saturation Biopsy for Diagnosis, Staging, and Management of Prostate Cancer

Medical Policy # MA-171

Original Effective Date: 03/01/2026

Current Effective Date: 03/01/2026

Coding

The five character codes included in the Health Plan Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines are obtained from Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®)‡, copyright 2025 by the American Medical Association (AMA). CPT is developed by the AMA as a listing of descriptive terms and five character identifying codes and modifiers for reporting medical services and procedures performed by physician.

The responsibility for the content of the Health Plan Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines is with the Health Plan and no endorsement by the AMA is intended or should be implied. The AMA disclaims responsibility for any consequences or liability attributable or related to any use, nonuse or interpretation of information contained in the Health Plan Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines. Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT, and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein. Any use of CPT outside of the Health Plan Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines should refer to the most current Current Procedural Terminology which contains the complete and most current listing of CPT codes and descriptive terms. Applicable FARS/DFARS apply.

CPT is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association.

Codes used to identify services associated with this policy may include (but may not be limited to) the following:

Code Type	Code
CPT	55706
HCPCS	No codes
ICD-10 Diagnosis	All related Diagnoses

*Investigational – A medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational if the effectiveness has not been clearly tested and it has not been incorporated into standard medical practice. Any determination we make that a medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational will be based on a consideration of the following:

- A. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product can be lawfully marketed without approval of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and whether such approval has been granted at the time the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is sought to be furnished; or
- B. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product requires further studies or clinical trials to determine its maximum tolerated dose, toxicity, safety, effectiveness, or effectiveness as compared with the standard means of treatment or diagnosis, must improve health outcomes, according to the consensus of opinion among experts as shown by reliable evidence, including:

Saturation Biopsy for Diagnosis, Staging, and Management of Prostate Cancer

Medical Policy # MA-171

Original Effective Date: 03/01/2026

Current Effective Date: 03/01/2026

1. Consultation with technology evaluation center(s);
2. Credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community; or
3. Reference to federal regulations.

****Medically Necessary (or “Medical Necessity”)** - Health care services, treatment, procedures, equipment, drugs, devices, items or supplies that a Provider, exercising prudent clinical judgment, would provide to a patient for the purpose of preventing, evaluating, diagnosing or treating an illness, injury, disease or its symptoms, and that are:

- A. In accordance with nationally accepted standards of medical practice;
- B. Clinically appropriate, in terms of type, frequency, extent, level of care, site and duration, and considered effective for the patient's illness, injury or disease; and
- C. Not primarily for the personal comfort or convenience of the patient, physician or other health care provider, and not more costly than an alternative service or sequence of services at least as likely to produce equivalent therapeutic or diagnostic results as to the diagnosis or treatment of that patient's illness, injury or disease.

For these purposes, “nationally accepted standards of medical practice” means standards that are based on credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community, Physician Specialty Society recommendations and the views of Physicians practicing in relevant clinical areas and any other relevant factors.

‡ Indicated trademarks are the registered trademarks of their respective owners.

NOTICE: If the Patient's health insurance contract contains language that differs from the Health Plan's Medical Policy definition noted above, the definition in the health insurance contract will be relied upon for specific coverage determinations.

NOTICE: Medical Policies are scientific based opinions, provided solely for coverage and informational purposes. Medical Policies should not be construed to suggest that the Health Plan recommends, advocates, requires, encourages, or discourages any particular treatment, procedure, or service, or any particular course of treatment, procedure, or service.

NOTICE: Federal and State law, as well as contract language, including definitions and specific contract provisions/exclusions, take precedence over Medical Policy and must be considered first in determining eligibility for coverage.

NOTICE: All codes listed on the Medical Policy require prior authorization. This ensures appropriate utilization and alignment with current clinical guidelines.

Saturation Biopsy for Diagnosis, Staging, and Management of Prostate Cancer

Medical Policy # MA-171

Original Effective Date: 03/01/2026

Current Effective Date: 03/01/2026

Medicare Advantage Members

Established coverage criteria for Medicare Advantage members can be found in Medicare coverage guidelines in statutes, regulations, National Coverage Determinations (NCD)s, and Local Coverage Determinations (LCD)s. To determine if a National or Local Coverage Determination addresses coverage for a specific service, refer to the Medicare Coverage Database at the following link: <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/search.aspx>. You may wish to review the Guide to the MCD Search here: <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/help/mcd-benehelp.aspx>.

When coverage criteria are not fully established in applicable Medicare statutes, regulations, NCDs or LCDs, internal coverage criteria may be developed. This policy is to serve as the summary of evidence, a list of resources and an explanation of the rationale that supports the adoption of this internal coverage criteria.

InterQual®

Interqual® is utilized as a source of medical evidence to support medical necessity and level of care decisions. InterQual® criteria are intended to be used in connection with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider. InterQual® criteria are clinically based on best practice, clinical data, and medical literature. The criteria are updated continually and released annually. InterQual® criteria are a first-level screening tool to assist in determining if the proposed services are clinically indicated and provided in the appropriate level or whether further evaluation is required. The utilization review staff does the first-level screening. If the criteria are met, the case is approved; if the criteria are not met, the case is referred to the medical director.